# 9.22: City of Somers Point– Jurisdictional Annex

## **Summary of Hazards and Actions**

Summary of H	azard Ranking and A	ctions, City of Some	rs Point
Hazard	Hazard Ranking	# of Related Actions	Action #s
	Atmospheric H	lazards	
Extreme Temperatures	м	2	10, 11
Extreme Wind	м	4	7, 10, 11, 12
Hail	L	2	10, 11
Hurricane & Tropical Storm	н	4	1, 2, 10, 11
Lightning	L	2	10, 11
Nor'easter	м	4	1, 2, 10, 11
Tornado	L	2	10, 11
Winter Storm	м	2	10, 11
	Hydrologic Ha	azards	
Coastal Erosion	М	2	10, 11
Sea Level Rise	н	2	10, 11
Dam Failure	N/A		
Levee Failure	N/A		
Drought	L	2	10, 11
Flood	н	12	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
Tsunami	L	2	10, 11
Storm Surge	н	4	3, 6, 10, 11
Wave Action	м	4	1, 2, 10, 11
	Geologic Ha	zards	
Earthquake	L	2	10, 11
	Other Natural H	lazards	
Wildfire	L	2	10, 11



*Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan – Atlantic County, New Jersey Jurisdictional Annex, City of Somers Point, Plan Update – 2022* 

# Mitigation Strategy for Risk Reduction

	Overview of Municipal Mitigation	Strategy, City of S	omers Point	
Project Number	Project Description	Hazard(s) Addressed	Priority	Goals
1	Construct living shorelines to protect against flooding and wave action along Bay Avenue, Somers Point-Mays Landing Road and properties adjacent to the Parkway <b>(New)</b>	Hurricane/tropical storm, Nor'easter, Flood, Wave action	Н	3
2	Raise the height of existing bulkheads along Bay Avenue to protect from wave action and flooding (Action 8 in 2016 Plan)	Hurricane/tropical storm, Nor'easter, Flood, Wave action	М	3
3	Inspect all check valves and replace/repair as needed. (Action 9 in 2016 Plan)	Flood, Storm surge	Н	3
4	Install and maintain inlet grate, debris collectors, and outlet structures (check valves). (New, elements of prior actions)	Flood	Н	3
5	Install School House Pump Station; Yale Boulevard Pump Station and Generator; Gulph Mills Pump Station and Generator; upgrade/retrofit undersized stormwater systems on Atlantic Avenue from Groveland to Wilmont, Bethel Road/Route 9 intersection, Jordon Road, Defeo Lane, Osborne Road, Princeton Road, Haddon Road, and Amber Road then parallel along Dartmouth Road and perpendicular across Exton Road; an area that runs perpendicular through Woodland Avenue across the block and across Somers Point Mays Landing Road to a small section behind Broadway Avenue that floods due to tidal and rainwater drainage issues; Address flooding that occurs along Bucknell Road North and around the block to Bucknell Road South due to tidal issues; Address flooding that occurs along Amber Road from Yale Boulevard to Bala Drive due to tidal issues; Address flooding that occurs along Exton Road from Cornell Road to Bala Dive due to tidal issues; Address flooding that occurs along Exton Road from Cornell Road to Bala Dive due to tidal issues; Address flooding that occurs along Exton Road from Cornell Road to Bala Dive that floods due to tidal and rainwater that cannot drain; Address a section of Somers Point Mays Landing Road that runs along the marsh and Patcong Creek that floods the intersection of Horter Avenue to Cliveden Avenue and Woodlawn Avenue and beyond to the bridge to Egg Harbor Township. <b>(New, elements of prior actions)</b>	Flood	Н	3
6	Acquisition of three properties on Jordon Road, Block 1129, Lots 24 and 25; Block 1127, Lot 9 (Action 6 in 2016 Plan)	Flood, Storm surge	Н	3
7	Maintain active training schedules for all relevant officials <b>(New)</b>	Extreme wind, Flood	Н	2
8	Require real estate disclosure of flood hazards (New)	Flood	Н	2
9	Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes. <b>(New)</b>	Flood	Н	3



	Overview of Municipal Mitigation Strategy, City of Somers Point									
Project Number	Project Description	Hazard(s) Addressed	Priority	Goals						
10	Continue to revise the Floodplain Management Plan, Repetitive Loss Analysis, Natural Resources Plan, Watershed Management Plan, mapping elevation certificates, and implement all hazards education/outreach programs.(New, elements of prior actions)	All	Н	4						
11	Utilize existing "reverse 911", Nixle service, social media accounts, local emergency AM radio system. Ensure local websites are up to date and information linked to AC Install City-Wide Warning System/Implement IPAWS notifications using cell towers OEM. Install remotely actuated road flood barrier warning system <b>(New)</b>	All	н	2						
12	Revised Flood Prevention codes, construction codes and planning guidance <b>(New)</b>	Flood	н	4						

More detailed information on these individual actions is presented at the end of this annex.



## **Contact Information**

City of Somers Point's Statement of Authority to Participate identified a Primary Point of Contact and an Alternate for this hazard mitigation plan update. These individuals represented the jurisdiction on the county-wide Core Planning Group and led a local team of Jurisdictional Assessment Team Members who undertook various local activities related to the plan update.

		HAZARD MITIGATION PLA	AN POINTS OF CONTACT			
P	rimary Point of Contact			Alternate Point o	f Contact	
Name: Jason Frost Title: City Administrator Department: Administration Address: 1 West New Jerse Phone Number: 609-927-90 E-mail Address: jfrost@spgg	y Avenue, Somers Point, 88 X-136	NJ 08244	Not designated			
		Jurisdictional Assessr	ment Team Members			
Local Jurisdiction Role/Position	Name	Email	Phone Date of Invitation		Method of Invitation	Agreed to participate?
Mayor/Supervisor	John Glasser	jglasser@spgov.org	609-927-9088 x121	June 3rd 2021	Email	July 26th 2021
Administrator	Jason Frost	jfrost@spgov.org	609-927-9088 x128	June 3rd 2021	Email	July 3rd 2021
Clerk	Lucy Samuelsen	lsamuelsen@spgov.org	609-927-9088 x123	June 3rd 2021	Email	July 3rd 2021
Engineer	Greg Schneider	greg@kscivil.com	609-365-1747	June 3rd 2021	Email	July 4th 2021
Attorney	Thomas Smith	thomas@thomasgsmithlaw.com	609-241-1296	June 3rd 2021	Email	July 3rd 2021
Building Code Official	Mark McCrane	mmccrane@spgov.org	609-927-9088 x138	June 3rd 2021	Email	July 30th 2021
Emergency Manager	Phil Gaffney	pgaffney@spgov.org	609-287-2122	June 3rd 2021	Email	July 29th 2021
Fiscal/Budget Officer	Shana Kestrel	skestrel@spgov.org	609-927-9088 x130	June 3rd 2021	Email	July 3rd 2021
Floodplain Manager	Mark McCrane	mmccrane@spgov.org	609-927-9088 x138	June 3rd 2021	Email	July 30th 2021
Planner	James Rutala	jmrutala@comcast.net	609-743-0354	June 3rd 2021	Email	June 4th 2021
Public Works Director	Guy Martin	gmartin@spgov.org	609-377-1254	June 3rd 2021	Email	June 4th 2021
Other	Chief Robert Somers	rsomers@spgov.org	609-927-9088 x104	June 3rd 2021	Email	June 4th 2021

City of Somers Point has reported undertaking the following internal activities in preparation of its mitigation plan:

		INVOLVED PARTIES - Place an 'x' for any position title that participated										
Subject of JAT Activity (Meeting Notice, Emails, Etc.	Date	Mayor/ Supervisor	Administrator	Clerk	Engineer	Attorney	Building Code Official	Emergency Manager	Fiscal / Budget Officer	Floodplain Manager	Planner	Public Works Director
Presentation to City Council and Resolution of Support by												
Council	5.13.21	x	x	x	x	x			x			
Kick-Off Invitation Sent	5.20.21	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Follow-Up Email Regarding Participation	6.3.21	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Steering Committee Meeting - Discuss Plan Update	7.2.21		x		x	x					x	
Plan Update Review	7.13.21		x		x		x			x		x
Public Outreach	7.31.21		x					x				
Plan Update Review and Progress Report	8.5.21		x		x							x
Plan Update Review and Progress Report	8.6.21		x				x			x		
Public Outreach	8.7.21											
Steering Committee Meeting - Discuss Plan Update	8.13.21		x		x	x					x	
Public Outreach	8.14.21		x					x				

#### **Governing Body Format**

The City is governed by a mayor-council form of government. The mayor is elected to a fouryear term and is generally responsible for the health, safety, and welfare of the municipality. Although the Mayor is not a member of the governing body, he has statutory authority to veto ordinances, and may vote in the event of a tie. The Mayor makes appointments to certain boards, including the Planning Board, Recreation Commission, and Environmental Commission. The City Council consists of seven members. There are three members from each of two wards, elected for staggered three-year terms, and one at large representative, elected every four years. The Council elects one of its members as President to chair the Council meetings and perform the other duties of a presiding officer. The City Council is the governing body of the City of Somers Point. The City Council is responsible for enacting ordinances, adopting the annual budget, and setting other policy for the municipality. The City Administrator is responsible for the day-to-day operations of the City. Department Heads from all sects of the municipality report to the Administrator. The City Administrator's primary duty is making sure all of the services needed are provided to the residents of Somers Point. The City Administrator implements policies and procedures set forth by the governing body.

#### Land Use and Development Trends

An evaluation of growth and development trends was undertaken by each participating jurisdiction as part of the development of the initial plan in 2010, and the last update in 2016. As part of this second plan update, City of Somers Point reviewed and updated its prior feedback to reflect current conditions as of mid-2021. City of Somers Point reported no major planned development in their jurisdiction.



Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Worksheet #3 – Growth and Development Trends Update

Performing an assessment of Growth and Development Trends is one step of a FEMAapproved hazard mitigation plan update. This look into the future is important because development in hazard areas could put more assets at risk and, in turn, could work to increase potential disaster-related damages at a time when the mitigation plan's purpose is to reduce the potential for damages emanating from natural disasters. Growth/development trends are based on the construction of new buildings, demolition of old buildings, or the planning, zoning or subdivision of new areas for development. A stagnant or decreasing population alone is not reason for stating that no development has occurred, unless backed up by a lack of development.

An evaluation of growth/development trends was undertaken by each participating jurisdiction as part of the development of the 2016 plan. Your municipality's prior response from 2016 is included on the next page in Part 1. Please review your community's prior <u>feedback</u> and identify any changes that have occurred since that time so the most current information can be reflected in this 2021 plan update. In addition, please provide responses for Part 2.

Information for the 2016 plan was provided to us by: <u>Jason Frost, Assistant City</u> Administrator

Your Name		_ ]
Title[]		
Community: Somers Point	]	
Email and Phone		]



Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan – Atlantic County, New Jersey Jurisdictional Annex, City of Somers Point, Plan Update – 2022 Part 1 - Please update your response from 2016 to reflect current conditions in the community.

Community	<ol> <li>Land Uses and Development Trends in Hazard Areas as Reported in the 2016 Plan. Please describe development trends occurring within your jurisdiction, such as the predominant types of development occurring, location, expected intensity, and pace by land use. While details are preferred, it is ok if your feedback is qualitative and quite general, such as "high-occupancy, high-density residential development is occurring near the waterfront".</li> </ol>	1a. Please update your response to reflect conditions in 2021	Regulations/Codes/Ordinances To Protect New Development From Natural Hazards as Reported in the 2016 Plan. Does your jurisdiction enforce regulations/ordinances/codes to protect new development from the effects of natural hazards? (Some examples might be floodplain management ordinances enforcing FEMA's NFIP for new development or substantial improvements in the floodplain; steep slope ordinances for community's which may have landslide hazards? earthquake resistant design criteria and/or high wind design criteria; or buffer zones in wildftre hazard areas.) If so, please describe.	2a. Please update your response to reflect conditions in 2021
Somers Point, City of	Proposed New Growth and Development: (1) residential at 10 Somers Point – Mays Landing Rd; (2) new commercial at 1 Bethel Rd; (3) new commercial at 575 New Rd.; (4) proposed restaurant at 924 Bay Ave.	Proposed New Growth and Development: (1) residential at 90 Broadway; (2) residential at Shore Rd and Pleasant Ave (3) new commercial at 19 McArthur Blvd; (3) new commercial at 425 New Rd.; (4) new commercial at Bay Ave and Pleasant Ave.	None reported.	Floodplain Management Ordinances § 114-217 Safety standards for stormwater management basins. § 114-218 Requirements for a site development stormwater plan.

### National Flood Insurance Program Summary

City of Somers Point has participated in FEMA's National Flood Insurance program (NFIP) since 1982.

National Flood Insurance Program Da City of Somers Point	ata
Total number of policies <sup>1</sup>	859
Insurance in force <sup>2</sup>	\$203,762,100
Total number of losses during NFIP participation	344
Total claims paid during NFIP participation	\$6,530,337
Non-Mitigated Repetitive Loss Properties	18
Non-Mitigated Severe Repetitive Loss Properties	1

Somers Point also participates in the NFIP's Community Rating System. Somers Point entered the CRS in 2018, and is presently a Class 5 community receiving a 25% premium discount for policyholders in the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) and a 10% premium discount for policyholders outside of the SFHA.

Somers Point provided the following NFIP Administrator Input in 2021, for inclusion in the 2022 Plan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Insurance in Force from www.fema.gov NFIP Insurance Report, data current as of 06/03/21



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Policies in Force from www.fema.gov NFIP Insurance Report, data current as of 06/03/21.

Name:	Mark McCrane	Title:	Floodplain	Manager/Floodplain	Administrator/NFI	P Coordinator	
Community:	City of Somers Point	Email a	nd Phone	mmccrane@spgov	.org 609-92	27-9088 Ext 138	1



#### Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update - Worksheet #2 - NFIP

<u>Note</u>: Data showing in the form at this time was provided for the 2016 plan by: <u>Jason Frost, Assistant City Administrator</u>. This form should be updated by your floodplain administrator.

Somers Point: Your last WS2 was provided to us in hand-written PDF, in a different format than this worksheet. We have done our best to transpose your responses here. For your convenience and reference, screenshots of your prior submittal are also included at the end of this document. Cells previously not responded to are in yellow; please update existing responses. and fill in any yellow missing entries. Thank you and please email anna.foley@aecom.com if you have any questions.

÷

Adoption Date of your Current Floodplain Management Ordinance	Date of Entry into NFIP <sup>1</sup>	Designated Fl	Position or Title of Your Jurisdiction's Designated Floodplain Manager/Administrator (may also be called NFIP Coordinator)			Designated Floodplain Manager/Administrator			Is floodplain management auxiliary function?	COMMUNITY IN
11/20/2014	11/17/82	0	Floodplain Manag	er	No	No	Yes			
Provide an explanation of NFIP administration services (i.e., permit review, GIS, education or outreach, inspections, engineering capability, etc.):										
Permit review, ou	treach, GIS, inspe	ctions								
Describe barriers	to running an effe	ctive NFIP program in	the community (i	f applicable):						
Funding, lack of t	raining, staffing, r	esources			_					
When was most recent FEMA Community Assistance Visit (CAV) or Community Assistance Conta- (CAC) <u>2*</u>	Is a CAV or CAC scheduled or needed?	ordinance exceed	Is training of staff regarding NFIP issues planned?	Does your community intend to continue to enforce the floodplain management requirements including regulating new construction in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs)?	Does your community participate in the CRS? If so, state your Class.	Does your community intend to continue its participation in the CRS program?	If your community is not currently participating in the CRS program, are you intending to initiate the process during the next planning cycle?			
March of 2017	Yes, they visi every 5 year:		Yes	Yes	Yes - Class 5	Yes	NA			
*Describe any out <u>Ves:</u> we are worki	<u> </u>	ice issues (i.e., current iance issues	violations):							

Name:	[ Mark ]	AcCrane	Title: Floo	dplain Manage	r/Floodplain Adm	ninistrator/NFIP	Coordinator	A		
Represe	enting: [ City of S	Somers Point	Email and Pho	one mmccrane(	Deppoy.org 609-	927-9088 Ext 1	38	_ 😻 _		
Provide an expla	nation of your local	floodplain permittin	ig process:							
0										
Does your community intend to continue floodplain identification and mapping services including any local requests for map updates?	Does your community intend to initiate/continue the buyouts of repetitive loss properties?	Does your community intend to commit staff or resources to improve local mapping or code administration in the future?	Does your community intend to provide local outreach to promote the sale of flood insurance?	Does your community intend to participate in <u>RiskMAP</u> meetings and planning initiatives?	Does your community intend to continue to implement structural improvements to mitigate against flooding - culverts, drainage basins, etc.?	Does your community intend to continue to implement home improvement programs designed to minimize basement flooding?	community intend tocommunity intend tocontinue to implementcontinue to implementhomeroadwayimprovementimprovementsprogramsto reducedesigned to minimizefuture futurebasementflooding events?			
Yes	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
adopt the new	munity intend to FEMA Advisory I Elevations?	your predecessor a participate in the	mager, did you (or at the time) actively development of the ditigation Plan?	actively part development	S Floodplain Manager, are you actively participating in the development of this Hazard Mitigation Plan Update? Have there been any chang community's local floodplain program since the last version					
C	¥es 🛛	X.	୧୯	D	les 🛛		No			
* If you <u>answere</u>	d <u>"yes"</u> , that there h	ave been changes to	your local program	since the last vers	ion of the plan, plea	se describe:				
0										
Provide a descri	ption of your comm	inity assistance and	monitoring activities	.:						
The City annually	reaches out to all re	epetitive loss proper	ties via mail to inform	n them of the serv	vices that the city flo	ood plain manage	r can provide.			
NFIP participating communities are required to update/revise their floodplain management ordinance to ensure that it complies with the latest FEMA <u>regulations</u> . Will your community continue to commit to this program requirement?				floodplain	NFIP participating communities are also required to update/revise their floodplain management ordinance to be consistent with the latest <u>FIRM</u> : your community continue to commit to this program requirement?					
		Yes				Yes				

T

#### **Critical Facilities in Delineated Hazard Areas**

Note that all assets and facilities in the City of Somers Point are considered to be at risk from County-wide hazards: Hurricane/Tropical Storm, Nor' easter, Extreme Wind, Tornado, Winter Weather, Lightning, Extreme Temperatures and Earthquake

Facility Name	Location	Facility Type	Flood, A Zone	Flood, V Zone (Wave)	Category 1-4 Storm Surge	Coastal Erosion	Sea Level Rise, 1 Ft	Sea Level Rise, 3 Ft	Dam Failure	Low to Moderate Wildfire	High to Extreme Wildfire
	Cit	y of Somers Point									
Somers Point Volunteer Rescue Squad	700 New Road	Ambulance/Rescue Squad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somers Point Station #1	Bethel Road	Fire Station	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somers Point Station #2	New Jersey Avenue and Centre Street	Fire Station	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shore Medical Center	10 Medical Center Way	Hospital	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somers Point Pd	1 W. New Jersey Ave	Police Station	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somers Point Sewerage Auth	744 Shore Road	Public Works	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City Hall	1 West New Jersey Ave	Public Works	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jordan Road School	Jordan Road	School	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somers Point Board of Education	Jordan Road	School	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Joseph Regional School	11 harbor lane & shore road	School	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New York Ave School	New York Avenue	School	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charter Tech School	413 New Road	School	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dawes Ave School	Dawes Ave	School	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total		0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### Summary of Estimated Potential Losses from Hazards

Estimated annual losses provided in this section are based on best available data, and the methodologies applied result in an approximation of risk. Loss estimates should be used to understand relative risk from hazards.

Uncertainties are inherent in any loss estimation methodology, arising in part from incomplete scientific knowledge concerning natural hazards and their effects on the built environment. Uncertainties also result from approximations and simplifications that are necessary for a comprehensive analysis (i.e., incomplete inventories, demographics or economic parameters

It is important to note that this table reflects estimates of average annual damages. For any hazard, individual event damages could be substantially (orders of magnitude) higher.

Only hazards for which annual losses were quantified and presented in Section 3C are included in this table.

Summary of Estimated Potential Losses From Hazards									
City of Somers Point									
Quantified Hazard Annualized Loss Annual Loss Ratio									
ExtremeWind	\$287,000	0.033%							
Hurricane and Tropical Storm	\$726,000	0.040%							
Lightning	\$2,500	0.000%							
Tornado	\$700	0.000%							
Drought*	\$0	4.000%							
Winter Storm	\$7,800	0.001%							
Coastal Erosion	\$0	0.000%							
Flooding (Riverine)	\$0	0.000%							
Storm Surge (Coastal Flooding)	\$17,127,000	0.960%							
Earthquake	\$8,000	0.001%							

\*Derived from crop values



## **Outreach to the Public and Other Stakeholders**

As part of this 2022 Plan Update, City of Somers Point undertook various activities to: (a) alert the public and other stakeholders to the fact that the HMP Planning Committee was working to develop the update; and (b) provide the public and other stakeholders with a forum to ask questions and submit comments and suggestions on the process. Outreach activities undertaken by City of Somers Point included those listed below. No comments were recorded as a result of the outreach activities.

		Outreach Activities	
Date of Activity	Type of Activity	Activity Details	Lead Department and/or Staff Title who Undertook Activity
Continual	Electronic Posting (Municipal Website)	The City Website has links to the Atlantic Co Hazard Mitigation Update and our Planned Participation, Sandy Recovery Survey, The Local Office of Emergency Management, links to our Code Red System, Emergency Evacuation Information and additional Storm Ready Designation information.	Dept of Administration
August 2021 (Various Dates)	Public Outreach	The City's Office of Emergency Management set up tables at various business throughout town to illicit feedback and provide literature on the Atlantic Co Hazard Mitigation Update as well as storm preparedness and hurricane awareness.	Office of Emergency Management
Continual	Electronic Posting (Social Media)	The City's Facebook page as well as the Office of Emergency Management's Facebook page provides info on the Atlantic Co Hazard Mitigation Update as well continual updates of Storm Preparedness.	Department of Administration, Office of Emergency Management
5/13/21	Presentation to City Council, Dissemination of Information, Request for Feedback	The Business Administrator discussed the Atlantic Co Hazard Mitigation Update with City Council and passed a resolution acknowledging the plan update.	Department of Administration
12/3/20	Direct Mailing	The City direct mails over 400 residents who owns homes that are considered "flood prone" and are given the contact for the City's Floodplain Manager to provide guidance on flood protect measures that can be undertaken.	Department of Administration



	Outreach Activities							
Date of Activity	Type of Activity	Activity Details	Lead Department and/or Staft Title who Undertook Activity					
Continual Monthly	Steering Committee Meetings	Meetings are held monthly (typically the first Friday of the month) throughout the year with the City Planner, City Engineer, various stakeholders, elected officials, and Business Administrator to coordinate capital projects and grant applications that can be beneficial to Hazard Mitigation Initiatives.	Department of Administration					
Annual	City Council Stormwater Report – Public Meeting	Presentation to City Council regarding City initiatives to address stormwater quality issues as it relates to new development and existing development by implementing Statewide Basic Requirements that include, among other items, that municipalities adopt and enforce a stormwater management plan.	Department of Administration					



## **Capability Assessment**

This section describes the following capabilities of City of Somers Point:

- Legal and regulatory capability
- Administrative and technical capability
- Fiscal capability
- Community resiliency
- Community political capability

As part of the 2022 Plan update, each participating jurisdiction reevaluated the capabilities they had provided for the 2016 Plan, and sent updates to the consultant for incorporation into this plan section. City of Somers Point has reviewed its responses from the 2016 Plan and has updated its prior feedback to reflect present-day conditions.

Legal and Regulatory Capability					
Regulatory Tools (Codes, Ordinances, Plans)	Do you have this?				
Building Code	Y				
Zoning Ordinance	Y				
Subdivision Ordinance	Y				
Special Purposes Ordinance	Y				
Growth Management Ordinance	Y				
Site Plan Review Requirements	Y				
Comprehensive Plan	Y				
Capital Improvements Plan	Y				
Economic Development Plan	Y				
Emergency Response Plan	Y				
Post-Disaster Recovery Plan	Ν				
Post-Disaster Recovery Ordinance	Ν				
Real Estate Disclosure Ordinance	Ν				
Evacuation Plan	Ν				



Administrative and Technical Capability							
Regulatory Tools (Codes, Ordinances, Plans)	Do you have this?						
Planner(s) with knowledge of land development and management practices	Ν						
Engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and management practices	Ν						
Planner(s) or engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and management practices	Υ						
Engineer(s) or professional(s) trained in construction practices related to buildings and/or infrastructure	Y						
Planner(s) or engineer(s) with an understanding of natural and/or human caused hazards	Y						
Floodplain manager	Y						
Surveyors	Y						
Staff with education or expertise to assess the community's vulnerability to hazards	Υ						
Personnel skilled in GIS and/or HAZUS	Υ						
Scientists familiar with the hazards of the community	Y						
Emergency Manager	Y						
Code Enforcement Official	Y						
Public Works or Highway Superintendent	Υ						
Emergency Management Coordinator	Y						

Fiscal Capability				
Financial Resources	Accessible or Eligible to use			
Community Development Block Grants (CDBG)	Y			
Capital Improvements Project Funding	Y			
Authority to Levy Taxes for Specific Purposes	N			
Fees for Water, Sewer, Gas, or Electric Service	Y			
Impact Fees for Homebuyers or Developers for New Developments/Homes	Y			
Incur Debt through General Obligation Funds	Y			
Incur Debt through Special Tax and Revenue Bonds	Y			
Incur Debt through Private Activity Bonds	Y			
Withhold Spending in Hazard-Prone Areas	Y			
Other (i.e., coastal, sustainability, or climate change initiatives; or Brownfield funds)	N			



Would you classify your community's <u>legal and</u> <u>regulatory</u> capability to implement hazard mitigation strategies as high, moderate, or low?	Would you classify your community's overall <u>technical</u> capability to implement hazard mitigation strategies as high, moderate, or low?	Would you classify your community's overall <u>fiscal</u> capability to implement hazard mitigation strategies as high, moderate, or low?	Would you classify your community's overall <u>administrative</u> capability to implement hazard mitigation strategies as high, moderate, or low?	Would you classify your political leadership's willingness to enact policies and programs that reduce hazard vulnerabilities in your community as high, moderate, or low <u>- even</u> if met with opposition? (i.e., guiding development away from identified hazard areas)?
м	м	М	м	M

Discuss ways of bridging identified gaps in your local capabilities to ensure that they are in-line with your mitigation actions and goals.

The City of Somers Point continues to evaluate mitigation actions for public facilities, fleets and equipment deemed necessary to build local resilience and recovery into the Municipal Budget on an annual basis. The City will continue to evaluate and update the City Code to ensure compliance with the latest FEMA flood requirements and best practices. The City will coordinate with neighboring communities and additional governmental entities in order assist with equipment sharing and mutual aid assistance to identify beneficial synergies that may exist.



#### **Plan Integration**

It is the intention of City of Somers Point to incorporate mitigation planning as an integral component of daily municipal operations. The balance of this subsection describes local accomplishments over the last planning cycle (2016-2021), and targeted activities for the next planning cycle (2022-2027), as reported by the City's CPG member and shared with the consultant for incorporation here.

<u>Demonstration of Progress over the Last Planning Cycle (2016-2021)</u> – City of Somers Point undertook the following plan integration mechanisms over the last planning cycle:

The City of Somers Point has been proactive in the mitigation efforts laid out from the previous planning cycle. We currently participate in the NFIP and are in good standing. Efforts such as enhancements to bulkheads, Pump-station generators, and pipe video imaging, mapping, and cleaning have all taken place since the last plan cycle. The city has a steering committee that meets monthly to evaluate grant opportunities for hazard mitigation projects as well as to ensure the incorporation of mitigation activities in future community planning projects. The City's Budget Committee has a well laid out capital plan that considers and budgets for mitigation projects as well as mitigation efforts in conjunction with other capital projects. The City of Somers Point annually updates our Stormwater Management Plan and reviews the applicable ordinances that effect the plan. Annually, the Stormwater Management Plan is updated and presented to the full governing body for their feedback and input. The city continuously looks for opportunities and synergies with Atlantic Cape community college as well as Stockton University in order to evaluate potential hazard mitigation initiatives and community resiliency.

The city frequently partners with FEMA and NJOEM in evaluation of past storm events and the potential mitigation of future occurrences.

The City has completed substantial capital projects in the Bay-front neighborhoods such as the Higbee Pier Marina that has assisted with mitigation efforts in that area in addition to add another recreational component to the City's asset list.

The City of Somers Point remains committed to incorporating Hazard Mitigation Initiatives throughout the City's planning evolution across platforms and disciplines.

<u>Targeted Plan Integration Activities for the Next Planning Cycle (2022-2027)</u> – City of Somers Point plans to implement the following plan integration mechanisms into local government operations from this point forward through the next planning cycle:

The City of Somers Point with continue to evaluate capital improvements for public facilities, fleets and equipment deemed necessary to build local resilience and recovery into the Capital Improvement Plan. We will encourage resiliency and sustainability in future developments where applicable. The City will pursue Local, State, and Federal grant funding on behalf of residents to help in the mitigation of natural hazards and disasters. The City will seek to improve drainage system maintenance overall. Update the City's GIS database and user



interface to catalog all City-owned stormwater and infrastructure assets. The City will continue to evaluate and update the City Code to ensure compliance with the latest FEMA flood requirements. The City will coordinate with neighboring communities and various governmental entities in order assist with equipment sharing and mutual aid assistance to identify beneficial synergies that may exist.



### Mitigation Strategy

This subsection sets forth the mitigation strategy for City of Somers Point. It describes, in the following order:

- Progress on 2016 Plan Actions
- Hazard Mitigation Accomplishments
- Proposed 2022 Plan Mitigation Actions
- Action Worksheets

#### Progress on 2016 Plan Actions

The following table was completed by the City of Somers Point JAT Committee Members. It summarizes the progress that was made on the local hazard mitigation initiatives that were set forth in the last version of the plan in 2016.

City of Somers Point has identified no major changes in priorities since the last version of the plan.



				PROGRESS ON 2016 H	AZARD MI'	TIGATION	PLAN INI	TIATIVES				
						Stat	tus			Relev	vance	
2016 Plan Initiative Number	Mitigation Initiative Description	2016 Plan Priority	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Lead and Support Agencies	Completed	Initiated but Not Completed	Ongoing Type of Activity	Not Initiated	Status Details	Still Relevant - Carry Forward to Updated Mitigation Strategy	No Longer Relevant - Omit from Updated Mitigation Strategy	Relevance Details
1	Fully participate in the 2015 update of the Atlantic County Hazard Mitigation Plan and provide a letter of intent to Atlantic County Office of Emergency Management	High	All	Municipality	х						х	Plan is being updated in 2022.
2	Acquire three flood prone properties on Jordan Road	High	Flood, Severe Storm	City, DPW, City Engineer, Others				х	Property owners are not interested or have reverse mortgages that restrict acquisition	×		No changes.
3	Construct two pump stations including two diesel pumps at the end of Gulph Mill Road and Yale Boulevard, as well as a pump station with one electric pump on School House Lane. These pump stations will be designed to ensure continuous power will be available to the stations keeping them operational during a storm event.	High	Flood, Severe Storm, Severe Winter Storm	City, DPW, City Engineering, Others		x			Yale is funded by FEMA. Pursuing funding for Gulph Mills and School House Lane.	x		No changes.
4	Upgrade/retrofit undersized stormwater system elements with prioritized areas of: Bethel Road, Defeo Lane and Atlantic Avenue	High	Flood, Severe Storm, Severe Winter Storm	City, DPW, City Engineering, Others		x			Atlantic Avenue is being addressed with an NJDOT Grant. The City is pursuing funding for Bethel and DeFeo.	x		No changes.
5	Prioritize problem properties; investigate mitigation options and folding alternatives. Phase 1 - Identify candidate properties and prioritize. Phase 2- Determine the cost effective mitigation option. Phase 3 - Work with property owners to implement selected action based on available funding from FEMA and local match availability.	High	Flood, Severe Storm, Severe Winter Storm, Wildfire	Municipal Engineering via NFIP FPA, with NJOEM, FEMA support	х						x	
6	Outreach, including: public surveys, newsletters and mailings, flyer distribution at meetings and forums, and developing and maintaining a natural hazard risk management webpage on the municipal website where information and mapping can be posted.	High	All	Somers Point OEM, County OEM			х			x		Continue Outreach
7	Participate in incentive-based programs such as CRS	Medium	All	City with NJOEM, NJDEP support			х			×		Continue participation in the CRS program
8	Implement protect measures that are inclusive of but not limited to raising bulkheads along the bay frontaget throughout the City.	Medium	Flood, Severe Storm	Municipal Engineering		х			Funding	x		Apply for FEMA funding

Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan – Atlantic County, New Jersey Jurisdictional Annex, City of Somers Point, Plan Update – 2022



	PROGRESS ON 2016 HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN INITIATIVES											
						Stat	us			Relev	/ance	
2016 Plan Initiative Number	Mitigation Initiative Description	2016 Plan Priority	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Lead and Support Agencies	Completed	Initiated but Not Completed	Ongoing Type of Activity	NotInitiated	Status Details	Still Relevant - Carry Forward to Updated Mitigation Strategy	No Longer Relevant - Omit from Updated Mitigation Strategy	Relevance Details
9	Implement protective measures to facilitate stormwater system improvements throughout the City including the potential projects to: (a) Install check vales at street ends that butt up to the Bay, (b) additional pump stations at critical locations throughout the City, (c) enlarge existing pump stations to meet increased need for capacity, (d) enlarge existing stormwater basins throughout the City to reduce potential flooding impacts to adjacent properties during storms	Medium	Flood, Severe Storm, Severe Winter Storm	Municipal Engineering, OEM		X			Funding	x		Apply for funding
11	Investigate available options and funding for the installation of pump stations with two diesel pumps at the end of Gulph Mill Road and Yale Boulevard and a pump station with one electric pump on School House Lane. Implement solutions as funding becomes available.	High	Flood, Severe Storm, Hurricane, Nor'easter	City, DPW, Engineer, and Others		x			see above, same as #3			No changes.
12	Implement protective measures that would upgrade/retrofit undersized stormwater system along Bethel Road and Defeo Lane. Installation of a separate outfall with a tidal check valve along Defeo Avenue to reduce the amount of water that is entering the current system from other areas of the City. Implement as funding becomes available.	High	Flood, Severe Storm, Hurricane, Nor'easter	City, DPW, Engineer, and Others		x			see above, same as #4			No changes.
13	Implement protective measures that would upgrade/retrofit undersized stormwater system along Atlantic Avenue. Implement as funding becomes available.	High	Flood, Severe Storm, Hurricane, Nor'easter	City, DPW, Engineer, and Others		x			see above, same as #4			No changes.

## Hazard Mitigation Accomplishments (2016-2021)

The State of New Jersey 2019 HMP (Section 3, Page 3-30) requires identification of mitigation activities as an essential element of a local plan review. Information on the status of long-range mitigation strategies shall be contained in the original plan. Self-funded mitigation initiatives as well as past FEMA funded projects should be documented. The following table summarizes past mitigation accomplishments in City of Somers Point since the last version of the plan was adopted in 2016. City of Somers Point did not report any mitigation actions completed since the last plan update.



## **Proposed 2022 Plan Mitigation Actions**

The process for selection and prioritization of mitigation actions is described in greater detail in Section 6 of the main text. The outcomes of that overall process are summarized here.

This plan proposes the actions determined to be the most appropriate for the resources and capabilities of City of Somers Point based on the experience of local officials, with input from the public and other stakeholders. Potential actions were reviewed relative to potential financial as well as administrative and legal costs and the degree to which they would be endorsed by the public. Potential actions were reviewed during the meetings relative to their potential benefit of effectiveness in saving lives, protecting the natural environment, and reducing disruption and damage. Actions selected by each jurisdiction include activities to protect existing and future structures and infrastructure and enhance community resilience.

Part of enhancing community resilience involves adapting to a changing climate. This plan's risk assessment includes information on climate change as part of the hazard vulnerability analysis and contain strategies/projects to address increased vulnerability that may result from climate change. By developing mitigating strategies and/or projects for hazards that are exacerbated by climate change, jurisdictions will better protect residents, avoid, or reduce damage to property and public infrastructure, and reduce personal hardship. Previous sections of this plan have presented information on how climate change may affect jurisdictional vulnerability or increased frequency of occurrence and/or severity in exposure to the identified hazards. Climate change is addressed by mitigating the various hazards that it exacerbates. City of Somers Point has proposed a range of hazard mitigation initiatives to address their highest hazards including those hazards that are exacerbated by a changing climate.

Action priorities were determined by a qualitative prioritization process. A higher priority was assigned to projects where: the life/safety risk of taking no action was deemed to be unacceptably high; the project addresses one of the community's highest hazards and/or key risks; benefits were projected to equal or exceed project costs; critical facilities or key local assets were being protected; funding and staff resources were deemed to be sufficient and/or accessible for project implementation; the project is technically feasible and the community determined their legal authority to implement the action; negative environmental and/or social impacts were not identified during the assessment, or were very minimal; and/or where there was overall support for the project from the local community (government officials, public, and stakeholders).

Detailed Action Worksheets for each action item in the strategy are presented in the following pages.



Action Worksheet								
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan							
Your community name	SomersPoint							
Community action number	1							
Assessing the Risk								
Hazard(s) addressed	Flood, Severe Storm, Hurricane, Nor-Easter							
Risk finding	Flooding, wave action							
	Describing the Action							
Action category	Natural Resource Protection							
Action type	Living Shoreline							
Action description	Construct living shorelines to protect against flooding and wave action along Bay Avenue, Somers Point-Mays Landing Road and properties adjacent to the Parkway							
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing and future development							
	Evaluating the Action							
Losses avoided	Living shorelines have been proven to be effective in reducing damage due to tidal							
(i.e., benefits)	flooding and sea level change							
Cost estimate	\$3,000,000							
Cost effectiveness	Living shorelines have been proven to be cost effective							
(i.e., benefit/cost)								
Technical	Living shorelines incorporate nature based design and are technically feasible							
Political	Project has support of public							
Legal	All land is already City Owned							
Environmental	Complies will all environmental regulations							
Social	No disruption to neighborhoods							
Administrative capability	The City has administered similar projects							
Local champion	The City has successfully completed other projects							
Other community objectives	Furthers economic development, capital improvements, resiliency from climate change							
	Implementing the Action							
Priority	High							
Local planning mechanism	Capital Plan							
Responsible party	City, City Engineer, Others							
Potential funding sources	FEMA and NJDEP grants							
Time line	10 years							
	Reporting on Progress							
Action progress status	New Action							

	Action Worksheet						
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan						
Your community name	SomersPoint						
Community action number	2 (8 in WS5)						
	Assessing the Risk						
Hazard(s) addressed	Flood, Severe Storm, Hurricane, Nor-Easter						
Risk finding	Flooding, wave action						
	Describing the Action						
Action category	Structural Project						
Action type	Bulkhead						
Action description	Raise the height of existing bulkheads along Bay Avenue to protect from wave action and flooding						
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing and future development						
	Evaluating the Action						
Losses avoided	Bulkheads are proven to reduce damage from wave action						
(i.e., benefits)							
Cost estimate	\$3,200,000						
Cost effectiveness	Bulkheads have shown to be cost effective to reduce wave action						
(i.e., benefit/cost)							
Technical	Installation of new bulkheads is common practice						
Political	Project has support of public						
Legal	Would need permission of property owners						
Environmental	Complies will all environmental regulations						
Social	No disruption to neighborhoods						
Administrative capability	The City has administered similar projects						
Local champion	The City has successfully completed other projects						
Other community objectives	Furthers economic development, capital improvements, resiliency from climate change						
	Implementing the Action						
Priority	Medium						
Local planning mechanism	Capital Plan						
Responsible party	City, City Engineer, Others						
Potential funding sources	FEMA and NJDEP grants						
Time line	10 years						
	Reporting on Progress						
Action progress status	Initiated						



	Action Worksheet						
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan						
Your community name	SomersPoint						
Community action number 3 (modified from 9 in WS5)							
	Assessing the Risk						
Hazard(s) addressed	Flooding (Tidal and Rain)						
Risk finding	Check valves and outlet structures may be not operating as designed.						
	Describing the Action						
Action category	Infrastructure						
Action type	Flood mitigation, reduction						
Action description	Inspect all check valves and replace/repair as needed.						
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing structures						
	Evaluating the Action						
Losses avoided	Reduce/eliminate flooding to roadways and homes						
(i.e., benefits)							
Cost estimate	\$250,000						
Cost effectiveness	Reduction of repetitive loss claims, reduction of continual DPW costs and eligibility for CRS						
(i.e., benefit/cost)	credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and businesses						
Technical	Technically feasible, Mitigates tidal and rainfall flooding for the long term						
Political	We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests						
Legal	No apparent legal issues						
Environmental	No adverse effects.						
Social	No adverse effects						
Administrative capability	Local capability, but outside agencies for design and construction						
Local champion	City Council, Committee(Floodplain) and Residents						
Other community	It will also mitigate flooding in local evacuation routes						
objectives							
	Implementing the Action						
Priority	HIGH						
Local planning mechanism	Capital Improvement Plan						
Responsible party	City Engineer						
Potential funding sources	FEMA, NJDEP, NJIT, etc.						
Time line	2024						
	Reporting on Progress						
Action progress status	New Action but includes elements of prior actions						



	Action Worksheet
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	SomersPoint
Community action number	4
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	Stormwater system clogging
	Describing the Action
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure
Action type	Preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Action description	Install and maintain inlet grate, debris collectors, and outlet structures (check valves).
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing & Future
Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	Captal - NA, \$5,500/yr. for ongoing maintenance of system by DPW
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall flood damage.
(i.e., benefit/cost)	
Technical	Yes.
Political	Public support and council support
Legal	City has authority
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
Social	none
Administrative capability	Program is managed by DPW and paid for by outside and City funds.
Local champion	City Council
Other community	Serve as an environmental benefit.
objectives	
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Program is managed by consultants and paid for by FEMA and property owners.
Responsible party	administration
Potential funding sources	FEMA, NJDEP, NJIT, City Budget
Time line	Pending funding
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	New action but includes elements of prior actions

	Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	
Your community name	Somers Point	
Community action number	5 (includes 3, 4, 11, 12, 13 from WS5)	
<u> </u>	Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed	All	
Risk finding	Localized Flooding	
	Describing the Action	
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure	
Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources	
Action description	Install School House Pump Station; Yale Boulevard Pump Station and Generator; Gulph Mills Pump Station and Generator; upgrade/retrofit undersized stormwater systems on Atlantic Avenue from Groveland to Wilmont, Bethel Road/Route 9 intersection, Jordon Road, Defeo Lane, Osborne Road, Princeton Road, Haddon Road, and Amber Road then parallel along Dartmouth Road and perpendicular across Exton Road; an area that runs perpendicular through Woodland Avenue across the block and across Somers Point Mays Landing Road to a small section behind Broadway Avenue that floods due to tidal and rainwater drainage issues; Address flooding that occurs along Bucknell Road North and around the block to Bucknell Road South due to tidal issues; Address flooding that occurs along Amber Road from Yale Boulevard to Bala Drive due to tidal issues; Address flooding that occurs along Exton Road from Cornell Road to Bala Dive due to tidal issues; Address pipe that runs perpendicular through Wisteria Walk across Laurel Drive that floods due to tidal and rainwater that cannot drain; Address a section of Somers Point Mays Landing Road that runs along the marsh and Patcong Creek that floods the intersection of Horter Avenue to Cliveden Avenue and Woodlawn Avenue and beyond to the bridge to Egg Harbor Township.	
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing	
	Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided (i.e., benefits)	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.	
Cost estimate	NA	
Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost)	Project is cost effective compared to overall flood damage.	
Technical	Yes	
Political	public support and council support	
Legal	City has authority	
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events	
Social	none	
Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and City Engineer	
Local champion	City Council	
Other community	Serve as an environmental benefit.	
objectives		
	Implementing the Action	
Priority	High	
Local planning mechanism	Program is managed by consultants and paid for by outside funding sources.	
Responsible party	administration	
Potential funding sources	FEMA, NJDEP, NJIT, City Budget	
Time line	Pending funding	
	Reporting on Progress	
Action progress status	New action but includes elements of prior actions	
- status		



Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan – Atlantic County, New Jersey

Jurisdictional Annex, City of Somers Point, Plan Update – 2022

	Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	
Your community name	SomersPoint	
Community action number	6 (2 in WS5)	
	Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed	Flooding (Tidal and Rain)	
Risk finding	There may be areas that can be targeted for Blue Acres Acquisition primarily on Jordon Road.	
	Describing the Action	
Action category	Natural Resource Protection	
Action type	Acquisition	
Action description	Acquisition of three properties on Jordon Road, Block 1129, Lots 24 and 25; Block 1127, Lot 9	
Existing, future &/or NA	Future Development	
	Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided	Effective in preventing development in floodprone areas.	
(i.e., benefits)		
Cost estimate	\$800,000	
Cost effectiveness	Reduction of repetitive loss claims, reduction of continual DPW costs and eligibility for CRS	
(i.e., benefit/cost)	credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and businesses	
Technical	Technically feasible, Mitigates tidal and rainfall flooding for the long term	
Political	We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests	
Legal	No apparent legal issues	
Environmental	No adverse effects, full permitting has been granted by NJDEP and CAFRA	
Social	No adverse effects	
Administrative capability	Local capability, but outside agencies for design and construction	
Local champion	City Council, Committee(Floodplain) and Residents	
Other community	It will also mitigate flooding in local evacuation routes	
objectives		
	Implementing the Action	
Priority	HIGH	
Local planning mechanism	NJDEP Blue Acres Program	
Responsible party	NJDEP Blue Acres Program	
Potential funding sources	NJDEP Blue Acres Program	
Time line	2024	
	Reporting on Progress	
Action progress status	Ongoing	



	Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	
Your community name	SomersPoint	
Community action number	7	
	Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed	All Hazards (i.e.: wind, flooding)	
Risk finding	Continue to revise local codes that needed to be adjusted to mitigate potential damages and loss of life	
	Describing the Action	
Action category	Education	
Action type	Continuing training on State and Local laws and codes	
Action description	Maintain active training schedules for all relative officials	
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing	
	Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided	Property damage from wind and flooding is mitigated by adopting new or updated	
(i.e., benefits)	building codes(i.e.: 3 feet of Free Board, elevation heights to current ABFE Maps)	
Cost estimate	Minimal cost for training	
Cost effectiveness	Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents	
(i.e., benefit/cost)	and businesses	
Technical	Technically feasible, no impediments	
Political	We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests	
Legal	No apparent legal issues	
Environmental	No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body	
Social	No adverse effects	
Administrative capability	Local capability, but outside agencies for design, construction and legal guidance	
Local champion	City Council, Code/Building Official, City Engineer	
Other community	Maintain all ordinances and codes so that they are in line with State laws	
objectives		
	Implementing the Action	
Priority	HIGH	
Local planning mechanism	Planning Board, Ordinance changes, Code Enforcement Officials	
Responsible party	Code Enforcement/Construction Official	
Potential funding sources	Budgetary line items	
Time line	On Going	
	Reporting on Progress	
Action progress status	New	



	Action Worksheet
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	SomersPoint
Community action number	8
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	Localized Flooding
	Describing the Action
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure
Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Action description	Require real estate disclosure of flood hazards
Existing, future &/or NA	Future
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	NA
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall flood damage.
(i.e., benefit/cost)	
Technical	Yes
Political	public support and council support
Legal	City has authority
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
Social	none
Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and City Engineer
Local champion	City Council
Other community	Serve as an environmental benefit.
objectives	
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Building Department
Responsible party	administration
Potential funding sources	City Budget
Time line	Pending funding
Reporting on Progress	
Action progress status	New action



Action category         Human Life and structure and Infrastructure           Action type         preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources           Action description         Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes.           Existing, future &/or NA         Future           Losses avoided         Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.           (i.e., benefits)         Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.           Cost estimate         Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City.           Cost estimate         Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers.           Technical         Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs.           Political         public support and council support           Legal         City has authority           Environmental         yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events           Social         none           Administrative capability         Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.           Local champion         City Council           Serve as an environmental benefit.         Serve as an environmental benefit.           Priority         High	Action Worksheet	
Community action number         9           Assessing the Risk           Hazard(s) addressed         All           Risk finding         Localized Flooding           Action category         Human Life and structure and Infrastructure           Action type         preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources           Action type         preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources           Action description         Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes.           Existing, future &/or NA         Future           Losses avoided         Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.           (i.e., benefits)         Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.           Cost estimate         Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City.           Cost effectiveness         Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to dilizens and emergency workers.           Political         public support and council support         Legal           Environmental         yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events           Social         none         Implementing the Action           Other community         Serve as an environmental benefit.         Opticalis and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.      <	Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Assessing the Risk           Hazard(s) addressed         All           Risk finding         Localized Flooding           Action category         Human Life and structure and Infrastructure           Action category         Human Life and structure and Infrastructure           Action description         Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes.           Existing, future &/or NA         Future           Evaluating the Action         Evaluating the Action           Losses avoided         Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.           (i.e., benefits)         Cost to the City.           Cost estimate         Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City.           Cost effectiveness         Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers.           Technical         Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs.           Political         public support and council support         Legal           City has authority         Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.           Local champion         City Council         Other community         Serve as an environmental benefit.           Objectives         High         Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for	Your community name	SomersPoint
Hazard(s) addressed       All         Risk finding       Localized Flooding         Action category       Human Life and structure and Infrastructure         Action category       Human Life and structure and Infrastructure         Action description       Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes.         Existing, future &/or NA       Future         Evaluating the Action       Localized to bomes and infrastructure would be avoided.         (i.e., benefits)       Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.         (i.e., benefits)       Cost estimate         Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City.         Cost effectiveness       Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers.         Technical       Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner poys all matching costs.         Political       public support and council support         Legal       City has authority         Environmental       yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events         Social       none         Administrative capability       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Incal champion       City Council         Other community       Serve a	Community action number	9
Hazard(s) addressed       All         Risk finding       Localized Flooding         Action category       Human Life and structure and Infrastructure         Action category       Human Life and structure and Infrastructure         Action description       Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes.         Existing, future &/or NA       Future         Evaluating the Action       Localized to bomes and infrastructure would be avoided.         (i.e., benefits)       Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.         (i.e., benefits)       Cost estimate         Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City.         Cost effectiveness       Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers.         Technical       Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner poys all matching costs.         Political       public support and council support         Legal       City has authority         Environmental       yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events         Social       none         Administrative capability       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Incal champion       City Council         Other community       Serve a		Assessing the Risk
Risk finding       Localized Flooding         Action category       Human Life and structure and Infrastructure         Action type       preservation of public health and sofety, structures and natural resources         Action description       Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes.         Existing, future &/or NA       Future         Evaluating the Action       Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.         (i.e., benefits)       Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.         Cost estimate       Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City.         Cost estimate       Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by to citizens and emergency workers.         Technical       Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs.         Political       public support and council support         Legal       City has authority         Environmental       yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events         Social       none         Administrative capability       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Local champion       City Council         Other community       Serve as an environmental benefit.         objectives       Program is managed by outsi	Hazard(s) addressed	All
Action category       Human Life and structure and Infrastructure         Action type       preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources         Action description       Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes.         Existing, future &/or NA       Future         Losses avoided       Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.         (i.e., benefits)       Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.         Cost estimate       Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City.         Cost effectiveness       Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers.         Technical       Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs.         Political       public support and council support         Legal       City has authority         Environmental       yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events         Social       none         Administrative capability       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Implementing the Action       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Local champion       City Council         Other community       Serve as an environmental benefit. <th>Risk finding</th> <th>Localized Flooding</th>	Risk finding	Localized Flooding
Action type       preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources         Action description       Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes.         Existing, future &/or NA       Future         Losses avoided       Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.         (i.e., benefits)       Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City.         Cost estimate       Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City.         Cost effectiveness       Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers.         Technical       Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs.         Political       public support and council support         Legal       City has authority         Environmental       yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events         Social       none         Administrative capability       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Local champion       City Council         Other community       Serve as an environmental benefit.         objectives       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       adminis		Describing the Action
Action description       Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes.         Existing, future &/or NA       Future         Evaluating the Action         Losses avoided       Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.         (i.e., benefits)       Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.         Cost estimate       Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City.         Cost effectiveness       Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers.         Technical       Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs.         Political       public support and council support         Legal       City has authority         Environmental       yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events         Social       none         Administrative capability       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Local champion       City Council         Other community       Serve as an environmental benefit.         objectives       Implementing the Action         Priority       High         Local planning mechanism       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsibl	Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure
Existing, future &/or NA       Future         Evaluating the Action         Losses avoided (i.e., benefits)       Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.         Cost estimate       Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City.         Cost effectiveness       Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers.         Technical       Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs.         Political       public support and council support         Legal       City has authority         Environmental       yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events         Social       none         Administrative capability       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Local champion       City Council         Other community       Serve as an environmental benefit.         objectives       Implementing the Action         Priority       High         Local planning mechanism       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration         Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       a	Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Existing, future &/or NA       Future         Evaluating the Action         Losses avoided       Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.         (i.e., benefits)       Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.         Cost estimate       Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City.         Cost effectiveness       Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers.         Technical       Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs.         Political       public support and council support         Legal       City has authority         Environmental       yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events         Social       none         Administrative capability       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Local champion       City Council         Other community       Serve as an environmental benefit.         objectives       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration         Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration <td< th=""><th></th><th>Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes.</th></td<>		Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes.
Evaluating the Action           Losses avoided (i.e., benefits)         Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.           Cost estimate         Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City.           Cost effectiveness         Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency (i.e., benefit/cost)           Technical         Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs.           Political         public support and council support           Legal         City has authority           Environmental         yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events           Social         none           Administrative capability         Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.           Local champion         City Council           Other community         Serve as an environmental benefit.           objectives         Implementing the Action           Priority         High           Local planning mechanism         Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.           Responsible party         administration           Potential funding sources         FEMA, Private Property owners           Time line         Pending funding	•	Future
(i.e., benefits)       Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City.         Cost effectiveness       Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers.         Technical       Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs.         Political       public support and council support         Legal       City has authority         Environmental       yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events         Social       none         Administrative capability       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Local champion       City Council         Other community       Serve as an environmental benefit.         Priority       High         Local planning mechanism       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration         Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Implementing the Action       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration         Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party		Evaluating the Action
Cost estimate       Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City.         Cost effectiveness       Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers.         Technical       Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs.         Political       public support and council support         Legal       City has authority         Environmental       yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events         Social       none         Administrative capability       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Local champion       City Council         Other community       Serve as an environmental benefit.         objectives       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       Administration         Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration         Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Implementing the Action         Priority       High         Local planning mechanism       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. <t< th=""><th>Losses avoided</th><th>Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.</th></t<>	Losses avoided	Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.
Cost estimate       Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City.         Cost effectiveness       Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers.         Technical       Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs.         Political       public support and council support         Legal       City has authority         Environmental       yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events         Social       none         Administrative capability       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Local champion       City Council         Other community       Serve as an environmental benefit.         objectives       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       Administration         Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration         Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Implementing the Action         Priority       High         Local planning mechanism       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. <t< th=""><th>(i.e., benefits)</th><th></th></t<>	(i.e., benefits)	
(i.e., benefit/cost)       workers.         Technical       Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs.         Political       public support and council support         Legal       City has authority         Environmental       yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events         Social       none         Administrative capability       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Local champion       City Council         Other community       Serve as an environmental benefit.         objectives       Implementing the Action         Priority       High         Local planning mechanism       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration         Potential funding sources       FEMA, Private Property owners         Time line       Pending funding	Cost estimate	
TechnicalTechnically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs.Politicalpublic support and council supportLegalCity has authorityEnvironmentalyes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm eventsSocialnoneAdministrative capabilityProgram is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.Local championCity CouncilOther community objectivesServe as an environmental benefit.PriorityHighLocal planning mechanismProgram is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.Responsible partyadministrationPotential funding sourcesFEMA, Private Property ownersTime linePending funding	Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost)	
Legal       City has authority         Environmental       yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events         Social       none         Administrative capability       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Local champion       City Council         Other community       Serve as an environmental benefit.         objectives       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Intersectives       Implementing the Action         Priority       High         Local planning mechanism       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration         Potential funding sources       FEMA, Private Property owners         Time line       Pending funding         Reporting on Progress	Technical	
Environmental       yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events         Social       none         Administrative capability       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Local champion       City Council         Other community       Serve as an environmental benefit.         objectives       Implementing the Action         Priority       High         Local planning mechanism       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration         Potential funding sources       FEMA, Private Property owners         Time line       Pending funding	Political	public support and council support
Social       none         Administrative capability       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Local champion       City Council         Other community objectives       Serve as an environmental benefit.         Priority       Implementing the Action         Priority       High         Local planning mechanism       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration         Potential funding sources       FEMA, Private Property owners         Time line       Pending funding	Legal	City has authority
Administrative capability       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Local champion       City Council         Other community objectives       Serve as an environmental benefit.         Tuplementing the Action       Priority         High       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       Administration         Potential funding sources       FEMA, Private Property owners         Time line       Pending funding         Responsible on Progress	Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
Local champion       City Council         Other community objectives       Serve as an environmental benefit.         Other community objectives       Serve as an environmental benefit.         Priority       High         Local planning mechanism       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration         Potential funding sources       FEMA, Private Property owners         Time line       Pending funding	Social	none
Other community objectives       Serve as an environmental benefit.         Objectives       Implementing the Action         Priority       High         Local planning mechanism       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration         Potential funding sources       FEMA, Private Property owners         Time line       Pending funding	Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.
objectives       Implementing the Action         Priority       High         Local planning mechanism       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration         Potential funding sources       FEMA, Private Property owners         Time line       Pending funding	Local champion	City Council
Implementing the Action         Priority       High         Local planning mechanism       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration         Potential funding sources       FEMA, Private Property owners         Time line       Pending funding         Keporting on Progress	Other community	Serve as an environmental benefit.
Priority       High         Local planning mechanism       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration         Potential funding sources       FEMA, Private Property owners         Time line       Pending funding         Responsible party       Reporting on Progress	objectives	
Local planning mechanism       Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.         Responsible party       administration         Potential funding sources       FEMA, Private Property owners         Time line       Pending funding         Reporting on Progress		Implementing the Action
Responsible party       administration         Potential funding sources       FEMA, Private Property owners         Time line       Pending funding         Reporting on Progress	Priority	High
Potential funding sources       FEMA, Private Property owners         Time line       Pending funding         Reporting on Progress	Local planning mechanism	Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners.
Time line     Pending funding       Reporting on Progress	Responsible party	administration
Reporting on Progress	Potential funding sources	FEMA, Private Property owners
	Time line	Pending funding
	Reporting on Progress	
Action progress status New action	Action progress status	New action



Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	SomersPoint
Community action number	10 (includes 6 from WS5)
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All Hazards
Risk finding	Continue to work towards a lower CRS rating to reduce flood insurance cost for City property owners
	Describing the Action
Action category	Public Education
Action type	Planning
Action description	Continue to revise the Floodplain Management Plan, Repetitive Loss Analysis, Natural Resources Plan, Watershed Management Plan, mapping elevation certificates, and impliment all hazards education/outreach programs.
Existing, future &/or NA	N/A
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Reduce the potential for flooding, improve planning for futher resiliency needs.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	\$25,000
Cost effectiveness	Highly cost effective, for a small outlay of funds, a much wider audience can be reached
(i.e., benefit/cost)	and reached in a near real time frame.
Technical	Technically feasible, no issues multiple employees on staff to utilize systems
Political	We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests
Legal	No apparent legal issues
Environmental	No adverse effects
Social	No adverse effects
Administrative capability	Local capability
Local champion	Local OEM Office along with First Responders and City Administrative Staff
Other community	Provide up to date, near real time information when needed
objectives	
	Implementing the Action
Priority	HIGH
Local planning mechanism	Local EOP
Responsible party	Local OEM Staff
Potential funding sources	FEMA and local budget
Time line	Ongoing
Reporting on Progress	
Action progress status	New but includes elements of prior actions



Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	SomersPoint
Community action number	11
·	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All Hazards
Risk finding	Pertinent information and warnings were not disseminated as widely as possible.
	Describing the Action
Action category	Public Education
Action type	Public Information
Action description	Utilize existing "reverse 911", Nixle service, social media accounts, local emergency AM radio system. Ensure local websites are up to date and information linked to AC Install City-Wide Warning System/Implement IPAWS notifications using cell towersOEM. Install remotely actuated road flood barrier warning system
Existing, future &/or NA	N/A
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Potential is there to mitigate loss of life and property. By notifying residents and providing
(i.e., benefits)	information on a wide basis, we will give them the most opportunities to take appropriate actions. That would lead to a lower likelihood that our First Responders would be put in harms way
Cost estimate	Only ongoing cost is our Code Red system at approximately \$1300.00 per year. Maintenance on low power AM is minimal to mill. \$40,000 for IPAWS system.
Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost)	Highly cost effective, for a small outlay of funds, a much wider audience can be reached and reached in a near real time frame.
Technical	Technically feasible, no issues multiple employees on staff to utilize systems
Political	We have 100% support of City Commissioners, residential and commercial interests
Legal	No apparent legal issues
Environmental	No adverse effects
Social	No adverse effects
Administrative capability	Local capability
Local champion	Local OEM Office along with First Responders and City Administrative Staff
Other community	Provide up to date, near real time information when needed
objectives	
	Implementing the Action
Driority	
Priority	
Local planning mechanism	Local EOM Staff
Responsible party	Local OEM Staff
Potential funding sources	Budgetary line items
Time line	Ongoing
Reporting on Progress	
Action progress status	New



Action Worksheet	
Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	
SomersPoint	
12	
Assessing the Risk	
All Hazards (i.e.: wind, flooding)	
Continue to revise local codes that needed to be adjusted to mitigate potential damages and loss of life	
Describing the Action	
Planning	
Code update	
Revised Flood Prevention codes, construction codes and planning guidance	
Future along with substantially damage or lifted structures	
Existing, future &/or NA Future along with substantially damage or lifted structures Evaluating the Action	
Property damage from wind and flooding is mitigated by adopting new or updated	
building codes(i.e.: 3 feet of Free Board, elevation heights to current ABFE Maps)	
Approximately \$3-5,000 for outside counsel and consultants	
Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents	
and businesses	
Technically feasible, no impediments	
We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests	
No apparent legal issues	
No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body	
No adverse effects	
Local capability, but outside agencies for design, construction and legal guidance	
City Council, Code/Building Official, City Engineer	
Reduction in NFIP rates with CRS credits and lower Flood insurance for all properties	
Implementing the Action	
HIGH	
Planning Board, Ordinance changes, Code Enforcement Officials	
City Engineer/Floodplain Manager/Construction Official	
Budgetary line items	
On Going	
Reporting on Progress	
New	

